UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,543	01/18/2001	John Spinks	2983.2.1	9442
7590 10/24/2007 A. JOHN PATE			EXAMINER	
PATE PIERCE		PHILLIPS, HASSAN A		
PARKSIDE TOWER 215 SOUTH STATE STREET, SUITE 550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CITY, UT 84111		2151	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

D	

· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/764,543	SPINKS ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hassan Phillips	2151				
All Participants:	Status of Application:					
(1) <u>Hassan Phillips</u> .	(3)	•				
(2) <u>John Pate</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>17 October 2007</u>	Time:					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(e)						
Claims discussed: Claim 1, all claims in general						
Prior art documents discussed: Nakamura		• .				
Part II.		,				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
	,					
•						
72/2						
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)						

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative gave a thorough overview of applicant's claimed invention and explained why the amended claims now distinguish from the teachings of the prior art. Examiner acknowlegded the amendments appear to address previous issues with the claims, and now appear to distinguish from the teachings of Nakamura. Examiner advised however that further search and consideration are necessary.